@ BELLSOUTH

BellSouth Telecommunication 333 Commerce Street Suite 2101 Nashville, TN 37201-3300	ons, Inc.	August 28	, 2002	Guy M. Hicks General Counse 615 214 6391 Fax 615 214 7400	べつ	Second Anna
guy.hicks@bellsouth.com					120	
					Grand States	. Annual
VIA HAND DELI	VERY				ಟ	Part of the second

Hon. Sara Kyle, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37238

Re:

Docket to Establish Generic Performance Measurements, Benchmarks and Enforcement Mechanisms for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Docket No. 01-00193

Dear Chairman Kyle:

Recently, BellSouth filed with the Authority six additional performance measures relating to change control (with a subsequent filing correcting CM-6) that the Company proposes to voluntarily implement. BellSouth also provided SQM pages associated with the six measures.

In its August 9, 2002 filing, BellSouth stated that it was voluntarily agreeing to pay Tier II penalties on three of those measures. Those measures were:

CM-6: Percent of Software Errors Corrected in X (10, 30, 45)

Business Days

CM-7: Percent of Change Requests Accepted or Rejected Within 10 Davs

CM-11: Percent of Change Requests Implemented Within 60 Weeks of Prioritization

BellSouth failed, however, to update appropriate pages in the SQM reflecting these measures in Appendix B: SEEM Submetrics. As a result, fifteen copies of an Hon. Sara Kyle, Chairman August 28, 2002 Page 2

updated Table B-2: Tier 2 Submetrics are attached. The three new CCP measures are included as Item Nos. 75-77 of the Table.

Very truly yours,

Guy M. Hicks

GMH:ch

Interim Tennessee Plan - Exhibit AJV-14

2. Tier 2 Submetrics

Table B-2 contains a list of Tier 2 submetrics.

Table B-2: Tier 2 Submetrics

item No.	Tier 2 Sub Metrics			
1	Average Response Time - Pre-Ordering/Ordering			
	Interface Availability - Pre-Ordering/Ordering			
3	Interface Availability - Maintenance & Repair			
4	Loop Makeup - Response Time - Manual			
	Loop Makeup - Response Time - Electronic			
6	Acknowledgement Message Timeliness - EDI			
7	Acknowledgement Message Timeliness - TAG			
8	Acknowledgement Message Completeness EDI			
9	Acknowledgement Message Completeness TAG			
	Percent Flow-through Service Requests (Summary)			
11	Reject Interval			
12	Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness			
13	Firm Order Confirmation and Reject Response Completeness - Fully Mechanized			
14	Percent Missed Installation Appointments - Resale POTS			
15	Percent Missed Installation Appointments - Resale Design			
16	Percent Missed Installation Appointments - UNE Loop and Port Combinations			
17	Percent Missed Installation Appointments - UNE Loops			
18	Percent Missed Installation Appointments - UNE xDSL			
19	Percent Missed Installation Appointments - UNE Line Sharing			
20	Percent Missed Installation Appointments - Local IC Trunks			
21	Average Completion Interval - Resale POTS			
22	Average Completion Interval - Resale Design			
23	Average Completion Interval - UNE Loop and Port Combinations			
24	Average Completion Interval - UNE Loops			
25	Average Completion Interval - UNE xDSL			
26	Average Completion Interval - UNE Line Sharing			
27	Average Completion Interval - Local IC Trunks			
28	U. J. G. Advance Conversions Interval - Unbundled Loops			
29	Conversions Hot Cut Timeliness Percent within Interval - ONE Ecops			
30	Coordinated Customer Conversions - Percent Provisioning Troubles Received within 7 days of a service order - UNE Loops			
31	Testing Percent xDSL Loops Tested			
32	Troubles within 30 days of Service Order Completion Results 1			
33	Percent Provisioning Troubles within 30 days of Service Order Completion - Resale Design			

Interim Tennessee Plan - Exhibit AJV-14

Table B-2: Tier 2 Submetrics (Continued)

tem No.	Tier 2 Sub Metrics	
34	Percent Provisioning Troubles within 30 days of Service Order Completion - UNE Loop and Port	
٥.		
35	Percent Provisioning Troubles within 30 days of Service Order Completion - UNE Loops Order Completion - UNE xDSL	
36	The lates within 30 days of Service Order Completion	
37	11: 20 January Convice (Irder Completion - Old Bitter	
38	Percent Provisioning Troubles within 30 days of Service Order Completion	
39	LNP - Percent Missed Installation Appointments	
40	Missed Repair Appointments - Resale POTS	
41	Mined Panair Appointments - Resale Design	
42	Missed Repair Appointments - UNE Loop and Port Combinations	
42	Missed Repair Appointments - UNE Loops	
	Missed Repair Appointments - UNE xDSL	
44	Missed Repair Appointments - UNE Line Sharing	
45	Missed Repair Appointments - Local IC Trunks	
46	Customer Trouble Report Rate - Resale POTS	
47	Control Trouble Report Rate - Resale Design	
48	Customer Trouble Report Rate - UNE Loop and Port Combinations	
49	Customer Trouble Report Rate - UNE Loops	
50	Customer Trouble Report Rate - UNE xDSL	
51	Customer Trouble Report Rate - UNE Line Sharing Customer Trouble Report Rate - UNE Line Sharing	
52	Customer Trouble Report Rate - ONE Entropy	
53	Customer Trouble Report Rate - Local IC Trunks Customer Trouble Report Rate - Local POTS	
54	Maintenance Average Duration - Resale POTS	
55	Maintenance Average Duration - Resale Design	
56	Maintenance Average Duration - UNE Loop and Port Combinations INTEL CORP.	
57	Maintenance Average Duration - UNE Loops	
58	Maintenance Average Duration - UNE xDSL	
59	Maintenance Average Duration - UNE Line Sharing	
60	Maintenance Average Duration - Local IC Trunks	
61	Percent Repeat Troubles within 30 days - Resale POTS	
62	T while within 30 days - Resale Design	
63	Percent Repeat Troubles within 30 days - UNE Loop and 1 of Com-	
64	Percent Repeat Troubles within 30 days - UNE Loops	
65	Powert Ponget Troubles within 30 days - UNE XDSL	
66	Paragent Repeat Troubles within 30 days - UNE Line Sharing	
67	Troubles within 30 days - Local IC Trunks	
68	Invoice Accuracy	
69	Mean Time to Deliver Invoices	
70	Date Delivery Accuracy	

Interim Tennessee Plan - Exhibit AJV-14

Table B-2: Tier 2 Submetrics (Continued)

Item No.	Tier 2 Sub Metrics
71	Trunk Group Performance - Aggregate
72	Collocation Percent of Due Dates Missed
73	Timeliness of Change Management Notices
74	Timeliness of Documents Associated with Change
75	Percent of Software Errors Corrected in X (10, 30, 45) Business Days
76	COlongo Requests Accepted or Rejected Within 10 Days
70 77	Percent of Change Requests Implemented Within 60 Weeks of Prioritization
	Service Order Accuracy - Resale Residence
78	Service Order Accuracy - Resale Business
79	Service Order Accuracy - Resale Design
80	Service Order Accuracy - UNE Specials (Design)
81	Service Order Accuracy UNE (Non-design)
82	Service Order Accuracy Local Interconnection Trunks
83	Service Order Accuracy 2008.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 28, 2002, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the following parties, via the method indicated:

[] Hand ∰ Mail [] Facsimile [] Overnight	James Lamoureux, Esquire AT&T 1200 Peachtree St., NE Atlanta, GA 30309
[] Hand	Henry Walker, Esquire Boult, Cummings, et al. P. O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 37219-8062
[] Hand → Mail [] Facsimile [] Overnight	Jon E. Hastings, Esquire Boult, Cummings, et al. P. O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 37219-8062
[] Hand	Charles B. Welch, Esquire Farris, Mathews, et al. 618 Church St., #300 Nashville, TN 37219
[] Hand →	Dana Shaffer, Esquire XO Communications, Inc. 105 Malloy Street Nashville, TN 37201

